

*(released late due to instructor illness)***Quasiparticle transport in a superconductor****1. Electron tunneling.**

Consider two metals that can be in a normal or in a superconducting state coupled through a tunnel junction,

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \sum_{\sigma, k, q} T_{k, q} c_{k, \sigma}^+ c_{q, \sigma} + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)$$

where $c_{q, \sigma}$ and $c_{k, \sigma}$ are Fermi operators of an electron in the metal and in the superconductor, respectively.

a) Consider tunneling current in the presence of voltage V applied across the barrier. Using the Golden Rule $dW = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle f | \mathcal{H}_t | i \rangle|^2$, evaluate the rate of transitions from material 1 to the material 2 and show that

$$I_{1 \rightarrow 2} = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |T|^2 N_1(E) f(E) N_2(E + eV) [1 - f(E + eV)] dE \quad (2)$$

with $N_{1,2}(E)$ the density of states $d\mathcal{N}/d\epsilon$ in both materials, $f(E)$ the Fermi distribution. Here A is a proportionality constant and $|T|^2 = \sum_{\epsilon_k, \epsilon_q} |T_{k, q}|^2$.

b) Following the route that has led to Eq.(2), find a similar expression for the current $I_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ from material 2 into the material 1. For the total tunneling current $I = I_{1 \rightarrow 2} - I_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ obtain

$$I = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |T|^2 N_1(E) N_2(E + eV) [f(E) - f(E + eV)] dE \quad (3)$$

c) Verify that for a pair of normal metals, with constant density of states each, the tunneling current (3) obeys Ohm's law, $I = GV$.

d) Consider tunneling between a normal metal and a superconductor. Analyze the expression for the current and plot I vs. V at low temperature and at $T = 0$. Show that the so-called *tunneling density of states* $W(V) = dI/dV$ at zero temperature is proportional to the BCS quasiparticle density of states

$$W(V) \propto N(E) = \nu_0 \Delta / (E^2 - \Delta^2)_{E=eV}^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

2. Andreev reflection.

Charge transport through a clean normal metal-superconductor interface can be described by Bogoliubov-deGennes equation with position dependent pairing amplitude $\Delta(r)$,

$$E \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} & \Delta(r) \\ \Delta^*(r) & -\mathcal{H}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{H} is a single-particle Hamiltonian of noninteracting fermions.

a) Consider a one-dimensional problem with a step-like pairing function $\Delta(x < 0) = 0$, $\Delta(x > 0) = \Delta$, and $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_x^2 - E_F$. Consider scattering state of an electron incident on the NS interface with the energy below the BCS gap, $|E - E_F| < \Delta$. Show that in

the superconductor the solution is an evanescent wave, and in the metal it describes a reflected hole.

b) Now consider incident electron with the energy slightly above the gap, $|E - E_F| > \Delta$. Describe the result of scattering of such an electron.

c) Generalize the result of part a) to a 3D system. Consider planar normal metal-superconductor interface with an electron incident at an angle θ to normal. Find the direction of the outgoing hole.

Fermi liquid theory

3. Thermodynamic functions, specific heat.

a) Thermodynamic potential of the ideal Fermi gas can be evaluated as

$$\Omega = -T \int \ln(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_p}) d^3p / (2\pi\hbar)^3 \quad (6)$$

Starting from this expression, show that specific heat is a linear function of temperature at $T \ll E_F$. Find the proportionality constant in the relation $C = \gamma T$.

b) Consider the thermodynamic potential using the particle-hole oscillator representation. We are going to check if it gives the same result as the canonical Fermi representation. In the absence of interactions,

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p} \in R_{\mathbf{k}}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^* \pi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}}^2 \phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^* \phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} \right) \quad (7)$$

with $\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})^2 / 2m - \mathbf{p}^2 / 2m$. Apply the formula for the thermodynamic potential of an ensemble of free bosons,

$$\Omega = T \sum_{\alpha} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega_{\alpha}}) = T \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p} \in R_{\mathbf{k}}} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}}})$$

with $\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}^2 / 2m$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p} / m$, and the crescent domain $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined in lecture as an overlap of a displaced Fermi sphere complement $|\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}| > p_0$ with the undisplaced Fermi sphere $|\mathbf{p}| < p_0$. Compare with the result of part a). To simplify analysis, consider only low temperatures, and find the specific heat for $T \ll E_F$.

c) Consider thermodynamic functions of the system of interacting fermions using the oscillator representation,

$$\mathcal{H}_{int} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' \in R_{\mathbf{k}}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}}^{1/2} \omega_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{k}}^{1/2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^* \phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}'} \quad (8)$$

Compare with the noninteracting case. Like in part b), do the calculations assuming $T \ll E_F$.

4. Screening

Consider screening of an external potential in a 3D electron gas with Coulomb repulsion $V_{\mathbf{k}} = \int (e^2 / |\mathbf{r}|) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} d^3r = 4\pi e^2 / \mathbf{k}^2$ between electrons. Show that for a slowly varying potential, the screened potential is described by

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\mathbf{k}^2 + r_s^{-2}} V_{\mathbf{k}}^{ext}, \quad r_s^{-2} = 4\pi\nu e^2 \quad (9)$$

with ν the density of states at the Fermi level. The quantity r_s is the screening radius, as can be seen from the form of the screened Coulomb potential $\frac{1}{r}e^{-r/r_s}$.