#### 22.615, MHD Theory of Fusion Systems Prof. Freidberg **Lecture 3: Validity of MHD**

# **Ideal MHD Equation**

$$
\nabla \times \underline{E} = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \qquad \nabla \cdot \underline{B} = 0
$$
  

$$
\nabla \times \underline{B} = \mu_0 \underline{J} \qquad n_i = n_e = n
$$
  

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \underline{v} = 0
$$
  

$$
\rho \frac{d\underline{V}}{dt} = \underline{J} \times \underline{B} - \nabla p
$$
  

$$
\underline{E} + \underline{V} \times \underline{B} = 0
$$
  

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{p}{\rho^r} = 0
$$

#### **Summary of Assumptions**

1. Asymptotic:  $n_e \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c \rightarrow 0$  $\pi \rightarrow p$  isotropic 2. Collision dominates:  $|\dddot{\;}|$   $\dddot{ }$  =  $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{1/2}$  V<sub>T, Tii</sub> e  $\left(\frac{m_i}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} \frac{v_{\text{T}_i} \tau_{\text{ii}}}{a} \ll 1$  $\left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \ m_{\rm i} \end{array} \right)^{1/2}$  V  $_{\rm T_{\rm i}}$  τ  $\left|\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right|$   $\frac{n_1}{2}$   $\ll$  1  $\frac{1}{2}$  equilibration  $\backslash$  M<sub>e</sub>  $\backslash$ - equilibration  $T_e = T_i$  $\kappa \rightarrow$  thermal conduction small if all term small 3. Small gyro radius:  $r_{ii}/a \ll 1$  -  $\leftarrow$  electron diamagnetism small small terms in energy equation η J in ohms law small 4. Small resistivity: i  $1/2$   $(1)^2$ e i di i / YT, <sup>u</sup>ii  $\left(\frac{\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{e}}}{\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{i}}}\right)^{\mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{f}}} \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{i}}}\mathsf{\tau}_{\mathsf{ii}}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{i}\mathsf{i}}}{\mathsf{a}}\right)^{\mathsf{r}} \ll 1$ Ohmic heating small

# **Conditions for validity**

- 1. Define  $y = \frac{r_{ii}}{a}$  $1/2$ i Ti e  $x = \left(\frac{m_i}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} \frac{v_{Ti} \tau_{ii}}{a}$
- 2. Small gyro radius  $y < 1$
- 3. Large collisionality  $x < 1$
- 4. Small resistivity  $y^2/x < 1$





- 1. Replace y x diagram as n T diagram
- 2. Plasmas of fusion interest

 $10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} < n < 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$ 

 $-5$ kev  $< T < 50$ kev

- 3. Rewrite conditions in terms  $n, T$ : Note, in this form B and a explicitly appear. Rather than B we hold  $\beta = 2\mu_0 nT/B^2$  fixed.  $\beta$  is critical parameter for fusion reactors, set by MHD stability limits.
- 4. Validity conditions  $(m \rightarrow D, \text{Inr} = 15)$  n $(10^{20})$ 
	- a. High collisionality  $x = 3 \times 10^3 (T^2 / \text{an}) \ll 1$
- b. Small gyro radius y = 2.3  $\times 10^{-2}\left(\beta/ \text{na}^2\right)^{1/2}\ll 1$
- c. Small resistivity  $y^2/x = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\beta}{aT^2} \ll 1$
- 5. Plot for the case  $a=1m$ ,  $\beta = 0.05$



6. Conclusion

Ideal MHD model is not valid for plasmas of fusion interest.

- a. Reason- collision dominated assumption breaks down
- b. But- large empirical evidence that MHD works very well in describing macroscopic plasma behavior
- c. Question- is this lack of subtle physics?

#### **Where specifically does ideal MHD breakdown?**

- 1. Momentum equation
	- a.  $\Pi \ll p$  because of collision dominated assumption
	- b.  $\Pi_{\perp} \ll p$  from collisionless theory  $\Pi_{\perp}/p \sim r_{ii}/a$  field holds fluid elements together ⊥ to B*.*
	- c.  $\Pi$ <sub>u</sub> ∼ p parallel to the field the motion of ions is kinetic  $\tau_{\text{MHD}} \sim a/v_{\text{ri}}$ ,  $\tau_{\text{MIN}} \sim a/v_{\text{ri}}$

d. ∴ ⊥ momentum equation OK

 $\parallel$  momentum equation not accurate

- 2. Energy Equation
	- a.  $\nabla_{\parallel} K_{\parallel e} J_N T_e \ll \partial p_e / \partial t$  collision dominated assumption
	- b.  $K_{\parallel} \rightarrow \infty$  rather than zero in collisionless plasma
	- c. More accurate equation of state  $\rightarrow \underline{B} \cdot \nabla T = 0$
	- d. ∴ energy equation not accurate

# **MHD errors in the momentum and energy equation do not matter why?**

1. Momentum  $\rho \frac{dV_{\perp}}{dt} = J \times B - \nabla_{\perp} p$  valid for collisionless Ohmic law and farday's law  $\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \underline{V}_\perp \times \underline{B}$   $\qquad \int$  and collisional theory

Note that  $\underline{v}_{\parallel}$  does not appears.

- 2. Errors appear in  $\parallel$  momentum equation and energy equation.
- 3. However, it turns out that for MHD equilibrium and most MHD instabilities, the parallel motion plays a small or negligible role. This is not obvious apriori
- 4. Assuming this to be true, an incorrect treatment of parallel motion is unimportant, since no parallel motions are exerted: the motions are incompressible.
	- a.  $B \cdot \nabla \rho = 0$  no density compression along B
	- b.  $B \cdot \nabla T = 0$   $\kappa_{\parallel} \rightarrow \infty$
- 5. The condition  $B \cdot \nabla \rho = 0$ , faradays law and ohms law can be shown to imply  $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$ . Conservation of mass then implies  $\nabla \cdot \underline{v} = 0$

### **Summary of theories**



#### **Conclusion**

- a. Once incompressibility is accepted as the dominant motion of unstable MHD modes, then errors in ideal MHD do not enter the calculation.
- b. Ideal MHD gives the "same" answer as "collisionless MHD".

# **Collisionless derivation from guiding enter theory**

$$
\begin{array}{l} \underline{J}_\perp = \underline{J}_{mag} + \sum_{\xi i} q_\alpha \int F_\alpha \left[ \underline{V}_{\nabla B} + \underline{V}_z + \underline{V}_p + V_{\underline{F} \nabla \underline{B}} \right] d \underline{v} \\\\ \underline{J}_{mag} = - \nabla \times \left( \frac{p}{B} \underline{b} \right) \end{array}
$$

MHD ordering

 $\underline{E} + \underline{v}_{\perp} \times \underline{B} = 0$ 

$$
\underline{b}\times\Bigg(\frac{d\underline{v}_{\perp}}{dt}\times\underline{b}\Bigg)=\underline{\textbf{J}}\times\underline{B}-\nabla_{\perp}p
$$

No way to determine equation of state for GC theory

Assume  $\frac{dp}{dt} = 0$ ,  $\frac{dp}{dt} = 0 \rightarrow$  gives collisionless result.

### **General Properties of MHD Model**

1. Use:



Long time: (transport)  $\rightarrow p_{\perp} \approx p_{\parallel} \approx$  maxwellion

Short time: continuously test MHD stability as the profile evolves on the slow transport time scale

2. General Conservation Laws

a. Mass 
$$
\frac{dM}{dt} = 0
$$
  $M = \int \rho \, dr$ 

b. Momentum 
$$
\frac{dP}{dt} = 0
$$
  $\underline{P} = \int \rho \underline{v} \, dv$ 

c. Energy 2,  $P$   $B^2$ 0  $\frac{dW}{dt} = 0 \qquad W = \int \left| \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{p}{r-1} + \frac{B^2}{2N_0} \right| dv$  $= 0 \quad W = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{p}{r-1} + \frac{B^2}{2N_0} \right]$ 

Despite approximations, ideal MHD model exactly conserves (3-D nonlinear) mass, momentum and energy.

# **Conservation of Flux**

$$
\psi = \int \underline{B} \cdot \underline{n} \, ds
$$



a.  $\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \cdot \underline{n} \, ds - \int \underline{dl} \cdot \underline{u} \times \underline{B}$ 

contribution due to motion of surface  $\underline{u}$  = arb. surface velocity

$$
\delta \psi = B d l \, u \, \delta t
$$

$$
= \underline{B} \cdot (\underline{u} \times \underline{dl}) \, \delta t
$$

$$
\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta t} = -\underline{dl} \cdot \underline{u} \times \underline{B} \rightarrow \text{ change in } \psi \text{ due to moving surface.}
$$

b. Now 
$$
\frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \underline{E} = \nabla \times (\underline{v}_{\perp} \times \underline{B} - \underline{E}_{\parallel})
$$

c. 
$$
\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int \nabla \times (\underline{v}_{\perp} \times \underline{B}) \cdot n \, ds - \int \underline{dl} \cdot \underline{u} \times B - \int \nabla \times \underline{E}_{\parallel} \cdot \underline{n} \, ds
$$

$$
= \int dl \cdot (\underline{v}_{\perp} - \underline{u}) \times \underline{B} - \int E_{\parallel} \underline{b} \cdot \underline{dl}
$$

- d. For ideal MHD  $E_{\parallel} = 0$
- e. Choose surface motion to coincide with plasma motion:  $\underline{u} = \underline{v}$
- f. Then

$$
\frac{d\psi}{dt}=0
$$

g. Plasma and field are "frozen" together

- h. Important topological constraint: no breaking or tearing of field lines for physical displacements. Topology of B lines preserved.
- i. Even small resistivity can be important as it allows new motions (tearing modes, resistive interchanges)