22.615, MHD Theory of Fusion Systems Prof. Freidberg Lecture 19

- 1. Stability of the straight tokamak
 - 1. pressure driven modes (Suydams Criterion)
 - 2. internal modes
 - 3. external modes
- 2. Tokamak Ordering

$$\begin{split} & \in \, \equiv \, a / R_0 \, \ll 1 \quad \, \frac{B_\theta}{B_z} \sim \, \in \\ & q \sim 1 \qquad \quad \frac{2 \mu_0 p}{B_z^2} \sim \, \in^2 \, \text{or} \, \in \end{split}$$

3. Suydams Criterion

$$\begin{split} p' &\sim \frac{p}{a} \\ rB_z^2 \left(\frac{q'}{q}\right)^2 &\sim \frac{B_z^2}{a} \\ & \therefore \frac{8\mu_0 p'}{\iota B_z^2 \left(q'/q\right)^2} &\sim \frac{\mu_0 p}{B_z^2} \sim \varepsilon, \, \varepsilon^2 \end{split}$$

- 1. Over most of the plasma the destabilizing term in Suydams criterion is much smaller than the stabilizing contribution.
 - ... Suydams criterion satisfies over most of the plasma
- 2. Exception:

near r=0 $p'(r) \approx p''(0)r$

$$q(r) \approx q(0) + q^{"}(0) \frac{r^{2}}{2} \approx q(0)$$
$$q'(r) \approx q^{"}(0)r$$



dominates near r=0

3. Resolutions: straight case



- 4. Resolution: Toroidal Case
 - a. In toroidal case there are important modifications to Suydams criterion: Mercier criterion. These corrections can eliminate the need for flattening the p profile
 - b. Simple, low β circular limit of Mercier criterion

$$rB_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{q^{'}}{q}\right)^{2}+8\mu_{0}p^{'}\left(1-q^{2}\right)>0$$
toroidal correction

- c. For q(0) > 1, pressure term is stabilizing: average curvature is formable.
- 5. Conclusion:

Localized interchange modes are not very important in a straight tokamak because β is very small. Near r=0, we need either flattening (straight) or q(0) > 1 (toroidal)

Internal Modes in a Straight Tokamak



 $L_z = 2\pi R_0 \qquad \qquad m \sim 1 \ \text{poloidal wave number}$

$$a/R_0 \equiv \epsilon$$
 $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k} = \frac{2\pi R_0}{n} \rightarrow k = -\frac{n}{R_0}$

 $B_{\theta}/B_{z} \sim \in$ n ~ 1 toroidal wavenumber

1. Use this ordering to simplify f and g

$$\begin{aligned} a. \quad f &= \frac{rF^2}{k_0^2} \\ k_0^2 &= k^2 + \frac{m^2}{r^2} = \frac{n^2}{R_0^2} + \frac{m^2}{r^2} \approx \frac{m^2}{r^2} \\ F &= kB_z + \frac{mB_\theta}{r} = -\frac{nB_z}{R_0} + \frac{mB_\theta}{r} = \frac{mB_z}{R_0} \left[-\frac{n}{m} + \frac{B_\theta B_0}{rB_z} \right] \\ &= \frac{mB_z}{R_0} \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n}{m} \right] \approx \frac{mB_0}{R_0} \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n}{m} \right] \\ \therefore f &= \frac{r^3}{m^2} \frac{m^2 B_0^2}{R_0^2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 = \frac{r^3 B_0^2}{R_0^2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 \sim \epsilon^2 \left(aB_0^2 \right) \\ b. \quad g_1 &= \frac{2k^2 \mu_0 p'}{k_0^2} = \frac{2n^2}{R_0^2} \frac{r^2}{m^2} p' = 2 \left(\frac{n}{m} \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^2 p' \sim \frac{\epsilon^2}{a} \frac{\beta B_0^2}{a} \quad \text{(small)} \\ g_3 &= \frac{2k^2}{rk_0^4} \left(k^2 B_z^2 - \frac{m^2 B_0^2}{r^2} \right) = \frac{2n^2 B_0^2 r^3}{R_0^4 m^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{m^2} - \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \sim \frac{\epsilon^4}{a} \frac{B_0^2}{a} \quad \text{(small)} \\ g_2 &= \frac{k_0^2 r^2 - 1}{k_0^2 r^2} rF^2 \approx \left(m^2 - 1 \right) \frac{rB_0^2}{R_0^2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 \sim \frac{\epsilon^4}{a} \frac{B_0^2}{a} \end{aligned}$$

2. Therefore

$$\frac{\delta W_F}{2\pi^2 R_0/\mu_0} \approx \frac{B_0^2}{R_0^2} \int r \, dr \left(\frac{n}{m} - \frac{1}{q}\right)^2 \left[r^2 \xi^2 + \left(m^2 - 1\right)\xi^2\right]$$

Stability of Internal Modes

- 1. $m \ge 2 \rightarrow$ stable, both terms positive.
- 2. m = 1 nq(r) > 1 (n=1 worst)

$$\begin{split} &1-\frac{1}{q}\neq 0\\ &I\propto \left(\frac{1}{q}-1\right)^{2}\xi^{^{2}}>0 \end{split}$$





3. m=1 q(r) < 1 somewhere



use the following trial function



Lecture 19 Page 5 of 10

b.
$$\frac{\delta W_{F}}{2\pi^{2}R_{0}/\mu_{0}} = \frac{B_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} r \, dr \left[1 - 1 + q'x\right]^{2} r^{2} \xi^{2}$$
$$= \frac{B_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} \left(r^{3} q'^{2}\right)_{r_{s}} \int \left(x\right)^{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2\delta}\right)^{2} dx$$
$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{\delta} \delta}_{= \frac{B_{0}^{2}}{6R_{0}^{2}} \left(r^{2} q'^{2}\right)_{r_{s}} \delta$$

- c. $\delta W_{F} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0$
- d. with an m=1 resonant surface in the plasma, the system is marginally stable in leading order; i.e. if q(0) < 1
- e. to test stability for this case we must calculate $\,\delta W\,$ to next order for the m=1 mode.

Calculate Next Order δW for m=1, n=1 Mode

$$1. \qquad f = \frac{r^{3}m^{2}B_{z}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}\left(m^{2} + n^{2}r^{2}/R_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(n - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{2}$$

$$2. \qquad g = \frac{m^{2} - 1 + k^{2}r^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}r^{2}}rF^{2} + \frac{2k^{2}\mu_{0}p'}{k_{0}^{2}} + \frac{2k^{2}}{rk_{0}^{4}}\left(kB_{z} - \frac{mB_{\theta}}{r}\right)F$$

$$\approx \frac{n^{2}r^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left[\mu_{0}2p' + \frac{rB_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{q} - n\right)\left(\frac{1}{q} - n - 2n - \frac{2}{q}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{n^{2}r^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left[2\mu_{0}p' - \frac{rB_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{q} - n\right)\left(3n + \frac{1}{q}\right) - \epsilon^{4}$$

$$3. \qquad \frac{\delta W_{F}}{2\pi^{2}R_{0}/\mu_{0}} = \int dr\left(f\xi^{2} + g\xi^{2}\right)$$

Use same trial function as before



Summary of Internal Modes in a Straight Tokamak

- 1. $m \ge 2$ stable
- 2. m=1, n=1 worst case for n=1, requires q(0) > 1 for stability
- 3. internal modes do not limit β , or I (q(a)), but clamp q(0) \approx 1 by sawtooth oscillations

4. To show stability we needed to calculate $\delta W = \epsilon^2 \ \delta W_2 + \epsilon^4 \ \delta W_4$

0 const.

Consider now External Modes

- 1. Vacuum is force free fields
- 2. m=1 Kruskal Shafranov limit
- 3. High m external kinks

Subtle Issues For External Modes

Vacuum as force free Plasma



- 1. cold, but highly conducting plasma surrounds core more realistic than vacuum
- 2. Is there any difference in stability in these 2 cases.

I $\sigma = 0$ II $\sigma = \infty$ might anticipate big difference

3. But! Vac. $\delta W_v = \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \hat{\underline{B}}_1 \right|^2 d\underline{r}$

$$FFP \quad \delta W_{FFP} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\underline{r} \left[\left| \underline{\hat{B}}_1 \right|^2 + \gamma p \left| \nabla \cdot \xi \right|^2 + \underline{\xi_{\perp}^{\star}} \cdot \left(\underline{J} \times \underline{\hat{B}}_1 \right) + \left(\xi \cdot \nabla p \right) \nabla \cdot \underline{\xi_{\perp}^{\star}} \right]$$

in FFP J = p = 0 in equilibrium

$$\delta W_{FFP} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\underline{r} \left| \underline{\hat{B}}_1 \right|^2$$

Thus, FFP same as Vac. \rightarrow might anticipate no difference in stability since δW 's are the same for each.

4. How do we calculate δW_v , δW_{FFP} . Minimizing condition is

$$\begin{array}{l} \nabla \times \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} = \nabla \cdot \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} = 0 \quad \text{"vacuum" fields} \\ \text{Vac: BC. } \underline{n} \cdot \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} \Big|_{S_{w}} = 0 \quad \underline{n} \cdot \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} \Big|_{S_{p}} = \underline{n} \cdot \nabla \times \underline{\xi_{\perp}} \times \underline{B} \Big|_{S_{p}} \\ \text{FFP } \underline{n} \cdot \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} \Big|_{S_{w}} = 0 \quad \underline{n} \cdot \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} \Big|_{S_{p}} = \underline{n} \cdot \nabla \times \underline{\xi_{\perp}} \times \underline{B} \Big|_{S_{p}} \\ \underline{and} \quad \hat{\underline{B}}_{1} = \nabla \times \left(\underline{\xi} \times \hat{\underline{B}}\right) \end{array}$$

- 5. In the FFP we must check that a well behaved $\underline{\hat{B}}_1$ always gives rise to well behaved $\underline{\xi}$. This is an <u>additional</u> constraint that can make the FFP more stable
- 6. Example: cylindrical screw pinch

$$\mathsf{B}_{1r} + \iota\mathsf{F}\xi \to \xi = -\frac{\iota\mathsf{B}_{1r}}{\mathsf{F}}$$

a. if k, m are such that F \neq 0 in FFP region then ξ is well behaved and $\delta W_v = \delta W_{FFP}$

b. Usually, however F = 0 in FFP for external modes. Then, ξ is unbounded \longrightarrow leads to infinite energy. This is not an allowable displacement



c. Calculation must be redone with new boundary condition $\underline{\hat{B}}_{1r}\left(r_{s}\right)=0$. Thus is an additional constraint, which is equivalent to placing a conducting wall at $r=r_{s}$

external \rightarrow internal mode with wall at singular surface.

- d. : FFP is more stable than Vac if $F(r_s) = 0$ in FFP region.
- 7. But !! most realistic case is neither vacuum nor FFP, but a plasma with a small resistivity

In that case $\delta W_{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \hat{B}_1 \right|^2 d\underline{r}$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{B}_1}{\partial t} = \nabla v \left(\underline{v} \times \underline{B} - \eta \underline{J} \right) \rightarrow \underline{\hat{B}}_1 = \nabla \times \left(\underline{\xi} \times B \right) - \frac{\iota \eta}{\omega} \nabla \times \nabla \times \underline{\hat{B}}_1$

Careful analysis choose that $\underline{\xi}$ is bounded at the resonant surface.

... Stability boundary is the <u>same</u> as Vacuum case, but growth rate is smaller, depending upon resistivity



Summary

Vacuum: certain stability boundary, growth rate $\sim \nu_T/R$

Ideal FFP: same stability boundary, growth rate if $\underline{k} \cdot \underline{B} \neq 0$

much more stable $(\gamma = 0)$ if $\underline{k} \cdot \underline{B} = 0$

Resistive FFP: same boundary as vacuum but

$$\gamma \sim \gamma_{MHD} \left(\frac{\tau_{MHD}}{\tau_{RES}} \right)^{\nu} \qquad 0 < \nu < 1$$