

# Lecture 23

Let  $U$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For each  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we define the differential operator

$$d : \Omega^k(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(U). \quad (4.175)$$

These maps are the  $n$  basic vector calculus operations in  $n$ -dimensional calculus. We review how  $d$  is defined.

For  $k = 0$ ,  $\Omega^0(U) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ , and let  $c = f(p)$ , where  $p \in U$ . The mapping  $df_p : T_p\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_c\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$  maps  $T_p\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , so  $df_p \in T_p^*\mathbb{R}^n$ . The map  $df \in \Omega^1(U)$  is a one-form that maps  $p \in U$  to  $df_p \in T_p^*\mathbb{R}^n$ . A formula for this in coordinates is

$$df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i. \quad (4.176)$$

In  $k$  dimensions,  $d$  is a map

$$d : \Omega^k(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(U). \quad (4.177)$$

Given  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ ,  $\omega$  can be written uniquely as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_I a_I dx_I \\ &= \sum_I a_I dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.178)$$

where  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$  and each  $a_I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Then, we define

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \sum da_I \wedge dx_I \\ &= \sum_{i,I} \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I, \end{aligned} \quad (4.179)$$

where each  $I$  is strictly increasing.

The following are some basic properties of the differential operator  $d$ :

1. If  $\mu \in \Omega^k(U)$  and  $\nu \in \Omega^\ell(U)$ , then

$$d\mu \wedge \nu = d\mu \wedge \nu + (-1)^k \mu \wedge d\nu. \quad (4.180)$$

2. For and  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ ,

$$d(d\omega) = 0. \quad (4.181)$$

**Remark.** Let  $I$  be any multi-index, and let  $a_I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Then

$$d(a_I dx_I) = da_I \wedge dx_I. \quad (4.182)$$

We now prove the above two basic properties of the differential operator.

**Claim.** If  $\mu \in \Omega^k(U)$  and  $\nu \in \Omega^\ell(U)$ , then

$$d\mu \wedge \nu = d\mu \wedge \nu + (-1)^k \mu \wedge d\nu. \quad (4.183)$$

*Proof.* Take  $\mu = \sum a_I dx_I$  and  $\nu = \sum b_J dx_J$ , where  $I, J$  are strictly increasing. Then

$$\mu \wedge \nu = \sum a_I b_J \underbrace{dx_I \wedge dx_J}_{\text{no longer increasing}}. \quad (4.184)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mu \wedge \nu) &= \sum_{i,I,J} \frac{\partial a_I b_J}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I \wedge dx_J \\ &= \sum \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x_i} b_J dx_i \wedge dx_I \wedge dx_J \quad (I) \\ &\quad + \sum a_I \frac{\partial b_J}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I \wedge dx_J, \quad (II) \end{aligned} \quad (4.185)$$

We calculate sums (I) and (II) separately.

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= \sum_{i,I,J} \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I \wedge b_J dx_J \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,I} \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I \right) \wedge \sum_J b_J dx_J \\ &= d\mu \wedge \nu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.186)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (II) &= \sum_{i,I,J} a_I \frac{\partial b_J}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I \wedge dx_J \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{i,I,J} a_I dx_I \wedge \frac{\partial b_J}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_J \\ &= (-1)^k \left( \sum_I a_I dx_I \right) \wedge \sum_{i,J} \frac{\partial b_J}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_J \\ &= (-1)^k \mu \wedge d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.187)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mu \wedge \nu) &= (I) + (II) \\ &= d\mu \wedge \nu + (-1)^k \mu \wedge d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.188)$$

□

**Claim.** For and  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ ,

$$d(d\omega) = 0. \quad (4.189)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega = \sum a_I dx_I$ , so

$$d\omega = \sum_{j,I} \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x_j} dx_j \wedge dx_I. \quad (4.190)$$

Then,

$$d(d\omega) = \sum_{i,j,I} \frac{\partial^2 a_I}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_I. \quad (4.191)$$

Note that if  $i = j$ , then there is a repeated term in the wedge product, so

$$d(d\omega) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2 a_I}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_I \quad (4.192)$$

$$+ \sum_{i > j} \frac{\partial^2 a_I}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_I. \quad (4.193)$$

Note that  $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$ . We relabel the second summand to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(d\omega) &= \sum_{i < j} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 a_I}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\partial^2 a_I}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}}_0 \right) dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_I \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.194)$$

□

**Definition 4.42.** A  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$  is *decomposable* if  $\omega = \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_k$ , where each  $\mu_i \in \Omega^1(U)$ .

**Theorem 4.43.** If  $\omega$  is decomposable, then

$$d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{i-1} \wedge d\mu_i \wedge \mu_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_k. \quad (4.195)$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction.

The case  $k = 1$  is obvious. We show that if the theorem is true for  $k - 1$ , then the theorem is true for  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
d((\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{k-1}) \wedge \mu_k) &= (d(\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{k-1})) \wedge \mu_k \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k-1}(\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{k-1}) \wedge d\mu_k \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\mu_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{k-1} \wedge \mu_k \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k-1}(\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{k-1} \wedge \mu_k) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\mu_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.196}$$

□

## 4.10 Pullback Operation on Exterior Forms

Another important operation in the theory of exterior forms is the *pullback operator*. This operation is not introduced in 18.01 or 18.02, because vector calculus is not usually taught rigorously.

Let  $U$  be open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V$  be open in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and let  $f : U \rightarrow V$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  map. We can write out in components  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , where each  $f_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Let  $p \in U$  and  $q = f(p)$ .

The pullback of the map  $df_p : T_p\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow T_q\mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$(df_p)^* : \Lambda^k(T_q^*\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^k(T_p^*\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{4.197}$$

Suppose you have a  $k$ -form  $\omega$  on  $V$ .

$$\omega \in \Omega^k(V), \tag{4.198}$$

$$\omega_q \in \Lambda^k(T_q^*\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4.199}$$

Then

$$(df_p)^*\omega_q \in \Lambda^k(T_p^*\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{4.200}$$

**Definition 4.44.**  $f^*\omega$  is the  $k$ -form whose value at  $p \in U$  is  $(df_p)^*\omega_q$ .

We consider two examples. Suppose  $\phi \in \Omega^0(V) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ . Then  $f^*\phi(p) = \phi(q)$ , so  $f^*\phi = \phi \circ f$ , where  $f : U \rightarrow V$  and  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Again, suppose that  $\phi \in \Omega^0(V) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ . What is  $f^*d\phi$ ? Let  $f(p) = q$ . We have the map  $d\phi_q : T_p\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_c\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$ , where  $c = \phi(q)$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
(df_p)^*(d\phi)_q &= d\phi_q \circ df_p \\
&= d(\phi \circ f)_p.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.201}$$

Therefore,

$$f^*d\phi = df^*\phi. \quad (4.202)$$

Suppose that  $\mu \in \Omega^k(V)$  and  $\nu \in \Omega^e(V)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f^*(\mu \wedge \nu))_p &= (df_p)^*(\mu_q \wedge \nu_q) \\ &= (df_p)^*\mu_q \wedge (df_p)^*\nu_q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.203)$$

Hence,

$$f^*(\mu \wedge \nu) = f^*\mu \wedge f^*\nu. \quad (4.204)$$

We now obtain a coordinate formula for  $f^*$ .

Take  $\omega \in \Omega^k(V)$ . We can write  $\omega = \sum a_I dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}$ , where each  $a_I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\omega &= \sum f^*a_I f^*dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^*dx_{i_k} \\ &= \sum f^*a_I df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.205)$$

where we used the result that  $f^*dx_i = dx_i \circ f = df_i$ .

Note that  $df_i = \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j$ , where  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . Also,  $f^*a_I = a_I \circ f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ , which shows that

$$f^*\omega \in \Omega^k(U). \quad (4.206)$$

The following theorem states a very useful property of the pullback operator.

**Theorem 4.45.** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega^k(V)$ . Then,*

$$df^*\omega = f^*d\omega. \quad (4.207)$$

*Proof.* We have already checked this for  $\omega = \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ ,  $k = 0$  already. We now prove the general case.

We can write  $\omega = \sum a_I dx_I$ . Then

$$f^*\omega = \sum f^*a_I df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k}. \quad (4.208)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} df^*\omega &= \sum df^*a_I \wedge df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k} \\ &\quad + \sum f^*a_I \wedge d(df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.209)$$

Note that

$$d(df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k}) = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d(df_{i_r}) \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k}. \quad (4.210)$$

We know that  $d(df_{i_r}) = 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}df^*\omega &= \sum_I df^*a_I \wedge df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_k} \\&= \sum_I f^*da_I \wedge f^*(dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}) \\&= f^*\left(\sum da_I \wedge dx_I\right) \\&= f^*d\omega.\end{aligned}\tag{4.211}$$

□