

---

## Midterm Solutions

---

### Problem M.1 (70 points)

Recall that an  $M$ -simplex signal set is a set of  $M$  signals  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{M-1}, 1 \leq j \leq M\}$  in an  $(M-1)$ -dimensional real space  $\mathbb{R}^{M-1}$ , such that, for some  $E_{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle = \begin{cases} E_{\mathcal{A}}, & \text{if } i = j; \\ -\frac{E_{\mathcal{A}}}{M-1}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Initially we will assume that  $M$  is a power of 2,  $M = 2^m$ , for some integer  $m$ .

(a) Compute the nominal spectral efficiency  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$  and the nominal coding gain  $\gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$  of an  $M$ -simplex signal set  $\mathcal{A}$  on an AWGN channel as a function of  $M = 2^m$ .

The  $M$ -simplex signal set has  $M = 2^m$  points, so the number of bits per symbol is  $\log_2 M = m$ . The number of dimensions is  $N = M - 1$ . The nominal spectral efficiency is therefore

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{2 \log_2 M}{M-1} = \frac{2m}{M-1} \text{ b/2D}.$$

This equals 2 for  $M = 2$  and decreases monotonically with  $M$ , so we are in the power-limited regime. Indeed, as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ .

The nominal coding gain in the power-limited regime is defined as  $\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}) = d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A})/4E_b$ . The squared distance between any two distinct signals is

$$\|\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j\|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle + \|\mathbf{a}_j\|^2 = 2E_{\mathcal{A}} + 2\frac{E_{\mathcal{A}}}{M-1} = \frac{M}{M-1}2E_{\mathcal{A}},$$

so  $d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}) = 2ME_{\mathcal{A}}/(M-1)$ . The energy per signal is  $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ , so the energy per bit is  $E_b = E_{\mathcal{A}}/(\log_2 M) = E_{\mathcal{A}}/m$ . The nominal coding gain is therefore

$$\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A})}{4E_b} = \frac{M}{M-1} \frac{\log_2 M}{2} = \frac{M}{M-1} \frac{m}{2}.$$

This equals 1 when  $M = 2$ , and increases monotonically (albeit slowly) with  $M$ . As  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) What is the limit of the effective coding gain  $\gamma_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{A})$  of an  $M$ -simplex signal set  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , at a target error rate of  $\Pr(E) \approx 10^{-5}$ ?

As shown in 6.450 and reiterated this term, orthogonal or simplex signal sets approach the ultimate Shannon limit on  $E_b/N_0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ; *i.e.*, they can achieve arbitrarily low  $\Pr(E)$  for any  $E_b/N_0 > \ln 2$  (-1.59 dB). For the baseline 2-PAM signal set,  $\Pr(E) \approx 10^{-5}$  when  $E_b/N_0 \approx 9.6$  dB. Therefore the limit of  $\gamma_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{A})$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  is  $\approx 11.2$  dB.

[Note: only one student answered this question correctly.]

(c) Give a method of implementing an  $(M = 2^m)$ -simplex signal set  $\mathcal{A}$  in which each signal  $\mathbf{a}_j$  is a sequence of points from a 2-PAM signal set  $\{\pm\alpha\}$ .

We saw in the problem sets that the Euclidean image of a  $(2^m - 1, m, 2^{m-1})$  binary linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  could form a  $2^m$ -simplex signal set, in two different cases:

- $\mathcal{C}$  is obtained by shortening a  $(2^m, m + 1, 2^{m-1})$  biorthogonal RM(1,  $m$ ) code;
- $\mathcal{C}$  is a maximum-length-shift-register code generated by a length- $m$  shift register.

In either case each signal  $\mathbf{a}_j$  is a sequence of  $2^m - 1$  points from a 2-PAM signal set. (In 6.450 you also saw a construction of an orthogonal signal set from a Hadamard matrix.)

Now consider a concatenated coding scheme in which

- the outer code is an  $(n, k, d)$  linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = 2^m$ , which has  $N_d$  codewords of minimum nonzero weight;
- outer  $q$ -ary code symbols are mapped into a  $q$ -simplex signal set  $\mathcal{A}$  via a one-to-one map  $s : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple in  $(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ , then  $s(\mathbf{x}) = (s(x_1), s(x_2), \dots, s(x_n))$  will be called the Euclidean image of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}' = s(\mathcal{C}) = \{s(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}\}$  denote the signal set consisting of the Euclidean images of all codewords  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(d) Compute the nominal spectral efficiency  $\rho(\mathcal{A}')$  of the concatenated signal set  $\mathcal{A}'$  on an AWGN channel. Is this signal set appropriate for the power-limited or the bandwidth-limited regime?

The size of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is  $|\mathcal{A}'| = |\mathcal{C}| = q^k$ , and the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is  $n(q - 1)$ . Therefore

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}') = \frac{2k \log_2 q}{n(q - 1)} = \frac{k}{n} \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2,$$

and we are in the power-limited regime.

(e) Compute  $d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}')$ ,  $K_{\min}(\mathcal{A}')$ , and  $\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}')$ . Give a good estimate of an appropriately normalized error probability for  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

The squared distance between the Euclidean images of two distinct codewords  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$  that differ by Hamming distance  $d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is

$$\|s(\mathbf{x}) - s(\mathbf{y})\|^2 = d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}),$$

since the coordinatewise distance is  $d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A})$  in each coordinate where the two words differ, and 0 otherwise. Therefore

$$d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}') = dd_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{M}{M - 1} 2dE_{\mathcal{A}},$$

and moreover every point in  $\mathcal{A}'$  has  $K_{\min}(\mathcal{A}') = N_d$  nearest neighbors. Since  $E_b(\mathcal{A}') = (n/k)E_b(\mathcal{A})$ , the nominal coding gain is

$$\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}') = \frac{d_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A}')}{4E_b(\mathcal{A}')} = \frac{dd_{\min}^2(\mathcal{A})}{4(n/k)E_b(\mathcal{A})} = \frac{kd}{n} \gamma_c(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{kd}{n} \frac{q}{q - 1} \frac{\log_2 q}{2}.$$

Notice that this reduces to  $\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}') = kd/n$  when  $q = 2$ .

The union bound estimate (UBE) gives a good estimate of the error probability  $\Pr(E)$ . In the power-limited regime, we normalize to the error probability per bit,  $P_b(E)$ , and express it as a function of  $E_b/N_0$ . The UBE of  $P_b(E)$  is

$$P_b(E) \approx K_b(\mathcal{A}') Q^{\vee(2\gamma_c(\mathcal{A}')E_b/N_0)} = \frac{N_d}{k \log_2 q} Q^{\vee\left(\frac{kd}{n} \frac{q}{q-1} (\log_2 q) E_b/N_0\right)},$$

where we use the fact that  $\log_2 |\mathcal{A}'| = k \log_2 q$  bits.

Now consider the case in which  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $(n = q + 1, k = 2, d = q)$  linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

(f) Show that a code  $\mathcal{C}$  with these parameters exists whenever  $q$  is a prime power,  $q = p^m$ . Show that all nonzero codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  have the same Hamming weight.

A finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  exists whenever  $q = p^m$ . In an exercise which we did as a homework problem, we showed that a doubly-extended  $(n = q + 1, k, d = n - k + 1)$  RS code exists over any field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Thus there exists a  $(q + 1, 2, q)$  code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . (Its generators are:

$$\mathbf{g}_0 = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0), \mathbf{g}_1 = (0, 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}, 1).$$

**Examples:** the  $(3, 2, 2)$  SPC code over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ; the  $(4, 2, 3)$  “tetracode” over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ; a  $(5, 2, 4)$  code over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

A  $(q + 1, 2, q)$  code is MDS, and therefore

$$N_d = \binom{n}{d} (q - 1) = \binom{q + 1}{q} (q - 1) = (q + 1)(q - 1) = q^2 - 1.$$

Thus all  $q^2 - 1$  nonzero codewords have Hamming weight  $d = q$ .

(g) Show that the Euclidean image  $\mathcal{A}' = s(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $q^2$ -simplex signal set.

The number of points in  $\mathcal{A}'$  is  $|\mathcal{A}'| = |\mathcal{C}| = q^2$ . Each point in  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a sequence of  $q + 1$  points in  $\mathcal{A}$ , so the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}') = (q + 1) \dim(\mathcal{A}) = (q + 1)(q - 1) = q^2 - 1.$$

The energy of each point in  $\mathcal{A}'$  is  $E_{\mathcal{A}'} = (q + 1)E_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since the inner product between symbols is  $E_{\mathcal{A}}$  where they agree and  $-E_{\mathcal{A}}/(q - 1)$  where they disagree, and any two distinct points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{A}'$  agree in one component and disagree in  $q$  components, we have

$$\langle s(\mathbf{x}), s(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = E_{\mathcal{A}} - \frac{q}{q - 1} E_{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{E_{\mathcal{A}}}{q - 1} = -\frac{E_{\mathcal{A}'}}{q^2 - 1}.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a  $q^2$ -simplex signal set.

Thus we can see how we might recursively generate simplex signal sets with  $2, 4, 16, 256, 2^{16}, \dots$  points, starting from a 2-PAM signal set and using doubly-extended  $(q + 1, 2, q)$  RS codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Scores on Problem 1:  $4 \in [9, 19]$ ;  $8 \in [20, 29]$ ;  $4 \in [30, 39]$ ;  $8 \in [40, 49]$ ;  $2 \in [50, 60]$ .

**Problem M.2 (30 points)**

(a) Let  $p(t)$  be a complex  $\mathcal{L}_2$  signal with Fourier transform  $P(f)$ . If the set of time shifts  $\{p(t - kT), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is orthonormal for some  $T > 0$ , then  $P(0) \neq 0$ .

FALSE. The orthonormality condition is

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_m |P(f - m/T)|^2 = 1, \forall f.$$

This can be satisfied by, e.g.,  $|P(f)|^2 = T$  for  $1/T \leq f < 2/T$  and  $P(f) = 0$  elsewhere, including  $P(0) = 0$ .

(b) Let  $s(\mathcal{C})$  be the Euclidean-space image of a binary linear block code  $\mathcal{C}$  under a 2-PAM map  $s : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{\pm\alpha\}$ . Then the mean  $\mathbf{m}$  of the signal set  $s(\mathcal{C})$  is  $\mathbf{0}$ , unless there is some coordinate in which all codewords of  $\mathcal{C}$  have the value 0.

TRUE. This proposition holds if and only if half the codewords in any binary linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  have a 0 in any coordinate position, and half have a 1 (unless all are 0).

To show this, recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  can be specified as the set of all binary linear combinations of some set of generators, and that a given generator  $\mathbf{g}$  is a component (with a 1 coefficient) of precisely half the codewords. In fact, we can group the codewords into pairs  $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{g})$  of codewords that do and do not include  $\mathbf{g}$ , respectively. Now given any coordinate position, we can find a generator  $\mathbf{g}$  that has a 1 in the given position, unless all codewords have a 0 in that position. Given such a  $\mathbf{g}$ , exactly one of each pair  $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{g})$  has a 0 in the given position, and one has a 1. Therefore precisely half the codewords have a 1 in the given position, unless all have a 0. This argument holds for all coordinate positions.

(c) A polynomial  $f(z) \in \mathbb{F}_q[z]$  satisfies  $f(\beta) = 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $f(z)$  is a multiple of  $z^q - z$ .

TRUE. A polynomial  $f(z)$  satisfies  $f(\beta) = 0$  if and only if  $z - \beta$  is a factor of  $f(z)$ . Thus  $f(\beta) = 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $\prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} (z - \beta)$  divides  $f(z)$ . But according to Theorem 3.1 of Lecture 7, this product is equal to  $z^q - z$ .

Scores on Problem 2: 6  $\in$  [0, 9]; 9  $\in$  [10, 19]; 11  $\in$  [20, 31].

Scores on Midterm: 1  $\in$  [10, 19]; 2  $\in$  [20, 29]; 5  $\in$  [30, 39]; 5  $\in$  [40, 49]; 3  $\in$  [50, 59]; 5  $\in$  [60, 69]; 3  $\in$  [70, 79]; 2  $\in$  [80, 90]. Median 52, 75% = 64, 25% = 36.