## **12.520 Lecture Notes 25**

### **The Stream Function**

For continuum mechanics in general and fluid mechanics specifically, a number of "laws" are expressed in terms of differential equations. For example,

1) Newton's second law  $(F = ma)$  (general)

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{ji}}{\partial x_j} + \rho f_i = \rho \frac{Dv_i}{Dt}
$$

2) Rheology (constitutive equation) (Newtonian fluid)

$$
\sigma_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + 2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}
$$

3) Definition of strain rate (general)

$$
\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)
$$

- 4) Continuity (conservation of mass) (incompressible)
	- ∂*vi* ∂*xi*  $= 0$

These 4 coupled first order differential equations, plus boundary conditions, can be solved to determine fluid flow for a variety of interesting applications.

Alternatively, they can be combined to form a single fourth order differential equation.

For fluids, this fourth order equation often involves the stream function.

Consider a 2-D flow with velocities  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$  in the  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  plane ( $v_2 = 0$ )

$$
If v_1 = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_3}
$$

$$
v_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1} \implies \nabla \cdot \underline{v} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1} = 0
$$

Incompressibility is automatically satisfied!

[In general, if  $y = \nabla \times \Psi$ ,  $\nabla \cdot y = 0$ . Here  $\Psi = (0, \Psi, 0)$ ]

Substituting into the (steady) Navier-Stokes equation

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_1} - \eta \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3} + \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_3^3} \right) + \rho f_1 = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_3} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3^2} \right) + \rho f_3 = 0
$$

Now take 
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}
$$
 of first,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$  of second  
\n
$$
-\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} - \eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} \right) + \rho \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} \right) + \rho \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} = 0
$$

Subtract:

$$
\eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} \right) + \rho \left( \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \right) = 0
$$
  

$$
\frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} = \nabla^2 (\nabla^2 \Psi) = \nabla^4 \Psi
$$

 $\nabla^4$  is called biharmonic operator.

For uniform or no  $f: \nabla^4 \Psi = 0$ 

Advantages of using the biharmonic operator are

- 1. only one equation
- 2. efficient solution

Disadvantage: Loss of "physical insight".

#### **Physical Interpretation of Stream Function**

Consider triangle APB.



For incompressible fluid,

flux<sub>AP</sub> + flux<sub>BP</sub> + flux<sub>AB</sub> = 0  
\n
$$
-v_3 \delta x_1 + v_1 \delta x_3 + flux_{AB} = 0
$$
\nflux<sub>AB</sub> =  $v_3 \delta x_1 - v_1 \delta x_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1} \delta x_1 + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_3} \delta x_3 = \delta \Psi$   
\nor 
$$
\int_{A}^{B} d\Psi = \Psi_B - \Psi_A
$$

Difference in Ψ represents the flux crossing the curve.

#### **Solution of biharmonic**

Polynomials (e.g., for Conette flow,  $\Psi = -\frac{v_0 x_3^2}{2}$  $\frac{0^{1/3}}{2h}$ )

Separation of variables:

$$
\Psi = X(x)Z(z)
$$
  

$$
\nabla^4 \Psi = 0 \Longrightarrow X^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} Z + 2X^{\prime\prime} Z^{\prime\prime\prime} + XZ^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime} = 0
$$

$$
\frac{X''''}{X} + 2\frac{X''}{X}\frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{Z''''}{Z} = 0
$$

Harmonic  $\Psi = \sin \frac{2\pi x}{l}$  $\frac{\lambda x}{\lambda}Z(z)$ 

Solution:  $\Psi = [(A+Bz) \exp(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda}) + (C+Dz) \exp(-\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda})] \sin(\frac{2\pi x}{\lambda})$ 

Physical boundary conditions:  $T_n = 0$   $T_7 = 0$ 



In  $x_1$ ',  $x_3$ ' coordinates, at  $x_3 = \xi(x_1)$ :

$$
\sigma_{3'3'} = 0
$$
  

$$
\sigma_{3'1'} = \sigma_{1'3'} = 0
$$

Have solution to biharmonic in terms of  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  -- easily applied at  $x_3 = 0$ .

Need to take physical  $(x_1, x_3)$  boundary conditions and

- 1. rotate to  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  space
- 2. Taylor's series expansion
- 3. subtract out hydrostatic reference state

Result (to first order in  $\xi/\lambda$ )

$$
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? & 0 \\ ? & ? & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho g \xi \end{pmatrix}
$$

4. solve biharmonic.

# **Postglacial Rebound**

#### **Decay** of Boundary Undulations (1/2 space, uniform  $\eta$ )





- Assume uniform  $\eta$
- Subtract out lithostatic pressure  $P = p \rho g x_3$
- Assume <sup>ρ</sup>*g* uniform
- Use stream function Ψ

$$
v_1 = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_3} \qquad v_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1}
$$

 $\Rightarrow \nabla^4 \Psi = 0$ 

Solution:  $\Psi = \left[ (A + Bkx_3) \exp(-kx_3) + (C + Dkx_3) \exp(kx_3) \right] \cdot \sin kx_1$ 

Boundary conditions:

at  $x_3 = 0$  (mathematical, not physical)

$$
\sigma_{33} = \rho g \zeta
$$

$$
\sigma_{13} = 0 = \eta \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} \right)
$$

at  $x_3 \rightarrow \infty$ , must be bounded

$$
\Rightarrow C = D = 0
$$
  
In order that  $\sigma_{13} = 0$  at  $x_3 = 0$ ,  

$$
-\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2} = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow B = A
$$
  
or  $\Psi = A(1 + kx_3) \exp(-kx_3) \cdot \sin kx_1$ 

Then

$$
v_1 = Ak^2x_3 \exp(-kx_3) \cdot \sin kx_1
$$
  
\n
$$
v_3 = Ak(1 + kx_3) \exp(-kx_3) \cdot \cos kx_1
$$
  
\nat  $x_3 = 0$   $v_3 = \dot{\zeta} = Ak \cos(kx_1)$ 

Now

$$
\sigma_{33} = -p + 2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}_{33}
$$
  

$$
\dot{\varepsilon}_{33} = 0 \text{ at } x_3 = 0
$$

To get *p*, use 
$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \eta \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \rho x_i = 0
$$

for 
$$
i = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_1} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x_3^2} \right) = 0
$$

Substitute for  $v_1$  and integrating  $\Rightarrow$   $p|_{x_3=0} = 2\eta k^2 A \cos kx_1$ 

But 
$$
p = -\rho g \zeta \Rightarrow A = -\frac{\rho g \zeta_0}{2k^2 \eta}
$$
  
\nOr  $\dot{\zeta}_0 = -\frac{\rho g \zeta_0}{2k \eta} = -\frac{\rho g \lambda \zeta_0}{4 \pi \eta}$   
\nOr  $\zeta_0 = \zeta_0|_{t=0} \exp(-\frac{\rho g t}{2k \eta}) = \zeta_0|_{t=0} \exp(-\frac{t}{\tau})$   
\nwhere  $\tau = \frac{2k\eta}{\rho g} = \frac{4\pi \eta}{\rho g \lambda}$   
\nSolving for  $\eta$ :  $\eta = \frac{\rho g \lambda \tau}{4 \pi}$   
\nFor curves shown,

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\tau: 5000 \text{ yr} \\
\lambda: 3000 \text{ km}\n\end{array}\n\right\} \Rightarrow \eta: 10^{21} \text{ Pa}
$$

Note: stream function  $~ \sim ~ \exp(-kx_3) = \exp(-\frac{2\pi x_3}{\lambda})$ 

Falls off to 
$$
\sim 1/e
$$
 at  $x_3$ :  $\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$ 

Senses to fairly great depth

⇒ postglacial rebound doesn't reveal the details of mantle viscosity structure, but only the gross structure.

Note: Behavior at Hudson Bay and Boston different:



Is this consistent with uniform 1/2 space?

$$
\tau = \frac{4\,\pi\eta}{\rho g \lambda}
$$

Decompose into Fourier components



Details depend on geometry of ice load and elastic support of load.

Suppose we require faster relaxation for short  $\lambda$  than for long  $\lambda$ .



How to get solution? What are the boundary conditions?